### **INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

onal Application No US2004/024559

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 H04L29/06				
170 7 11042237 00				
A coording to				
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classific SEARCHED	anon and IPC		
Minimum do	currentation searched (classification system followed by classification	on symbols)	<del></del>	
IPC 7	H04L		•	
0	to a company of allow the principles of a second state of the seco			
Documental	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	such documents are included in the fields se	earched	
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data ba	SO 20d Where practical govern terms used	,	
EPO-In	• ,	bo and, where practical, search terms used	,	
[ [ [ [ ] ]	· ·			
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	levant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
Х	WO 96/42041 A (OPEN MARKET INC)		1-41	
	27 December 1996 (1996-12-27) page 5, line 8 - page 6, line 22	· figure 3		
	page 13, line 20 - page 15, line			
A	WO 02/39237 A (IBM DEUTSCHLAND ;	TRM (US))	1 1/1 25	
^	16 May 2002 (2002-05-16)	IDM (03/)	1,14,25, 34,36 .	
	abstract; figure 4a		,	
	page 7 - page 8			
		•		
İ			,	
<u> </u>				
Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed	in annex.	
Special categories of cited documents:				
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention				
"E" earlier	document but published on or after the international date	"X" document of particular relevance; the o		
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone 'Y' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention			cument is taken alone	
O' docum	citation or other special reason (as specified)  *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or .  *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or .  *O* document is combined with one or more other such docu—			
other	other means ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.			
later than the priority date claimed *8		*&* document member of the same patent		
Date of the actual completion of the international search  Date of mailing of the international search report		агся героп		
23 December 2004		03/01/2005		
Name and mailing address of the ISA		Authorized officer		
	European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel (+31-70) 340-2040 Tx 31 651 eng ni			
Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016		Figiel, B		

### **INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

Information on patent family members

US2004/024559

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9642041 A	27-12-1996	US	5708780 A	13-01-1998
		US	5812776 A	22-09-1998
		ΑT	279065 T	15-10-2004
		AU	694367 B2	16-07-1998
		ΑU	5936796 A	09-01-1997
		CA	2221506 A1	27-12-1996
		DE	69633564 D1	11-11-2004
		EP	0830774 A2	25-03-1998
		JP	11507752 T	06-07-1999
		JP	2002157180 A	31-05-2002
		WO	9642041 A2	27-12-1996
WO 0239237 A	<b>16−05−2002</b>		1234502 A	21-05-2002
		WO	0239237 A2	16-05-2002
		ΕP	1368722 A2	10-12-2003
		T₩	528957 B	21-04-2003

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 2 7 OCT 2005

		WIPO PCT	
Applicant's or agent's file reference PU030228	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No.	International filing date (day/mon		
PCT/US2004/024559	29.07.2004	29.07.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H04L29/06			
A N A			
Applicant THOMSON LICENSING S.A. et al	•		
This report is the international pr Authority under Article 35 and tra	reliminary examination report, es ansmitted to the applicant accor	stablished by this International Preliminary Examining ding to Article 36.	
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this cove	er sheet.	
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising:		
a. 🛛 sent to the applicant and			
⊠ sheets of the descrip and/or sheets contain Administrative Instru	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 c on the line of			
Supplemental Box.	Bureau anks) a total of (indicate	type and number of electronic carri, , containing a	
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carn, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).			
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following items:		
☐ Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion		
☑ Box No. II Priority			
☐ Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regard to r	ovelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention		
⊠ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited			
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international applica			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of this report	
12.04.2005	26.	10.2005	
Name and mailing address of the international		orized Officer	
preliminary examining authority:	Sitschiner Str. 103	. iden	
European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin		iel, B	
Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840		phone No. +49 30 25901-473	

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/024559

	Box No. I Basis of	the report	
1.	With regard to the la	Vith regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wa led, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	which is the lang ☐ international: ☐ publication of	sed on translations from the original language into the following language, uage of a translation furnished for the purposes of: search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) the international application (under Rule 12.4) oreliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	. With regard to the <b>elements*</b> of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets who have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>		
	Description, Pages		
	1-10	as originally filed	
	Claims, Numbers		
	1-41	received on 12.04.2005 with letter of 12.04.2005	
	Drawings, Sheets		
	1-3	as originally filed	
	☐ a sequence listing	g and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ the description ☐ the claims, N☐ the drawings☐ the sequence	os. sheets/figs	
4.	had not been made, Supplemental Box (F  the description the claims, N  the drawings the sequence	n, pages os. 14-24,35,37-40 sheets/figs	
	* If item 4 ap	olies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/024559

_	Box	k No. II Priority
1.	×	This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested:
		☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)).
		☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).
2.		This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3	Δdc	ditional observations if necessary

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-13,25-34,36,41

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-13,25-34,36,41

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-13,25-34,36,41

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

### V. Reasoned statement under Art. 35(2)

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:
  - D1: WO 96/42041 A (OPEN MARKET INC) 27 December 1996 (1996-12-27)
- 2. The application does not meet the requirements of **Article 6 PCT**, because the independent **claims 1 and 25** are not clear:
- 2.1 In claim 1 it is not clear which entities perform the steps of "transmitting an authentication request" and "receiving a response to said authentication request". It is assumed that they are performed by the client (as in the figure 3).
- 2.2 Similar objection is to be raised for claim 25 for the corresponding features.
- 3. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 25 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3.1 Referring to the wording of **claim 1** document D1 discloses: a method for controlling access to a network (abstract); said method comprising:
  - receiving, by an access point of said network, a request to access said network, said request transmitted by a client (Get CP: figure 3, step 3);
  - re-directing, by said AP, said access request to a local server (this step can be omitted in the case that AP and local server are co-located one of two straightforward possibilities);
  - generating an URL by said AP/local server requesting that said client select an authentication server (AS) and forwarding said generated URL to said client (Redirect [AS]: figure 3, step 4; page 14, lines 6-8; it is **to be noted** that for the purpose of the authentication it is not relevant if such URL to the authentication server is sent directly to the user or if it is embedded in Web page and than sent to the user);
  - transmitting an authentication request to said selected authentication server

- ([AS] Get CP: figure 3, step 5; page 14, lines 8-13);
- receiving a response to said authentication request from said selected authentication server (New URL w/SID: figure 3, step 8; page 14, line 34 page 15, line 1).
- storing a mapping of an association of unique data with an identifier of said client in said AP (page 15, lines 3-5);
- 3.2 From the method disclosed in document D1 the subject matter of **claim 1** differs in that AP/local server associates/generates the unique data with an identifier of said client. The problem to be solve is to generate a challenge which can be authenticated by other trusted party.
  - This feature is merely one of the straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed. Applying of session ID and randomized number for the authentication is very well known in the art and used e.g. in the IEEE 802.1x systems (see description, page 14, lines 13-14).
- 3.3 Furthermore it is **to be noted** that the problem to be solved by the present application is **to authenticate a user** without requiring an explicit separate communication session between the access point and the authentication server (see description page 3, lines 3-6 and 29-32). Document D1 provides exact the same solution. The mere fact that an access to the service instead of an access to the network is controlled it is regarded as irrelevant.
  - Thus, the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step and does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Articles 33(1) and 33(3) PCT.
- 3.4 The above-mentioned lack of clarity notwithstanding, referring to the wording of **claim 25,** as far as it can be construed, document D1 discloses:
  a system for controlling access to a network comprising:
  - i) a client (client 50, figure 3);
  - ii) an access point AP co-located with a local server LS for relaying network communications to and from the client (content server 52, figure 3); and
  - iii) an authentication server (54, figure 3) for performing an authentication process

in response to a request from the client; wherein

- the LS transmits the unique data to the client (Redirect [AS]: figure 3, step 4; page 14, lines 6-8);
- the authentication server, upon authenticating the client using the unique data (page 14, lines 14-29), is operative to provide a re-direct header for access to the client (New URL w/SID: figure 3, step 8; page 14 line 34 page 15, line 1) including a digitally signed authentication message and authentication parameters corresponding to the unique data (page 14, lines 30-33),
- the AP receiving the digitally signed retrieved re-directed URL and authentication parameters from the client (figure 3, step 9) and the AP further correlating the authentication parameters with the mapped association data for determining access to the network based on the results of the correlation (page 14, lines 3-8).

From the method disclosed in document D1 the subject matter of claim 25 differs in that AP/local server associates/generates the unique data with an identifier of said client. This feature cannot be regarded as involving inventive step as already stated in points 3.2 and 3.3

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 25 does not involve an inventive step and does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Articles 33(1) and 33(3) PCT.

- 4. The **dependent claims 2-13, 26-34, 36 and 41** do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, involve an inventive step (Articles 33(1) and 33(3) PCT) for the reason that the subject-matter of said claims is either in principle directly derivable from the disclosure of the document D1 or represents simple design details which are generally known to the person skilled in the field of access control.
- 4.1 Claims 2 and 26: the additional feature of these claims (said network is a WLAN) cannot be regarded as involving inventive step as already stated in point 3.3.

11

### CLAIMS:

and

1. A method for controlling access to a network, said method comprising:

receiving, by an access point (AP) of said network, a request to access said network, said request transmitted by a client;

re-directing, by said AP, said access request to a local server;

associating unique data with an identifier of said client and storing a mapping of said association in said AP;

generating a Web page by said local server requesting that said client select an authentication server (AS) and including said unique data and forwarding said generated Web page to said client;

transmitting an authentication request to said selected authentication server;

receiving a response to said authentication request from said selected authentication server.

- 2. The method according to claim 1, wherein said network is a wireless Local Area network (WLAN).
  - 3. The method according to claim 1, further comprising: forwarding said identifier of said client from said local server; and generating said unique data for said client by said local server.
  - 4. The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

retrieving, by said client, a re-directed URL having embedded data including a first digital signature, authentication parameters and said unique data and forwarding said re-directed URL to said AP;

creating, by said AP, a second digital signature using said authentication parameters, said unique data and said identifier;

comparing, by said AP, said first digital signature with said second digital signature;

determining, by said AP, if there is a match between said first digital signature and said second digital signature; and

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

\*\*\*ENDED OHEET

12

performing, by said AP, one of granting network access and denying network access based on said match determination.

- 5. The method according to claim 1, wherein said unique data includes a session ID and a randomized number.
- The method according to claim 1, wherein said identifier is an address of said client.
- 7. The method according to claim 1, wherein the act of authenticating further comprises:

processing, by said AS, said authentication request, wherein said authentication request includes a session ID embedded in said authentication request;

responding to said authentication request by forwarding to said client by said AS an authentication input page, said authentication input page including a request for authentication information; and

receiving, by said AS, authentication credentials from said client, wherein said response to said authentication request forwarded to said client includes a re-direct header and a success code and associated information relevant to access of said network by said client.

- 8. The method according to claim 7, wherein the act of forwarding further comprises generating, by said AS, said success code and said associated information includes a first digital signature and authentication parameters.
- 9. The method according to claim 5, wherein said randomized number is one of a random number and a pseudo-random number.
- 10. The method according to claim 1, wherein said identifier is one of a physical (PHY) address of said client, a MAC address of said client and an IP address of said client.
- 11. The method according to claim 1, wherein said AP and said local server are colocated.

13

- 12. The method according to claim 4, wherein said first and said second digital signatures are generated using one of a private key of said AS and a shared key between said AS and said local server.
- 13. The method according to claim 4, wherein said second digital signature is locally generated at said AP.

Claim 14.	(CANCELLED)
Claim 15.	(CANCELLED)
Claim 16.	(CANCELLED)
Claim 17.	(CANCELLED)
Claim 18.	(CANCELLED)
Claim 19.	(CANCELLED)
Claim 20.	(CANCELLED)
Claim 21.	(CANCELLED)
Claim 22.	(CANCELLED)
Claim 23.	(CANCELLED)
Claim 24.	(CANCELLED)

25. A system for controlling access to a network comprising: a client;

14

an access point (AP) coupled to a local server (LS) for relaying network communications to and from the client; and

an authentication server for performing an authentication process in response to a request from the client; wherein

the AP, in response to a re-directed request to access the network from the client, associates unique data with an identifier of the client and stores a mapping of the association:

the LS transmits the unique data to the client;

the authentication server, upon authenticating the client using the unique data, is operative to provide a re-direct header for access to the client including a digitally signed authentication message and authentication parameters corresponding to the unique data, the AP receiving the digitally signed retrieved re-directed URL and authentication parameters from the client and the AP further correlating the authentication parameters with the mapped association data for determining access to the network based on the results of the correlation.

- 26. The system of claim 25, wherein the network is a wireless local area network (WLAN) comprising the access point and local server.
- 27. The system of claim 25, wherein the local server generates a web page requesting that the client select an authentication server, and embeds the unique data in the web page for transmission to the client.
- 28. The system of claim 25, wherein the identifier of the client is one of a physical address, MAC address and an IP address, and wherein the unique data comprises a session ID and a randomized number.
- 29. The system of claim 28, wherein the session ID and randomized number are generated by the local server.
- 30. The system of claim 28, wherein the authentication server receives user credential information from the client and provides a digitally signed authentication message including

15

an authentication parameters using said unique data through HTTPS to the client via said redirect header to the client.

- 31. The system of claim 30, wherein the AP, in response to receiving the digitally signed authentication message re-directed from the client including the authentication parameters and at least a portion of the unique data from the client, generates a local digital signature using the received portion of the unique data and the stored mapping data together with the authentication parameters, and compares the local digital signature with the digitally signed authentication message to determine network access by the client.
- 32. The system of claim 25, wherein the re-direct header further comprises a means for re-directing a browser of the client to a URL on the network, and embedding in the URL said digitally signed authentication message, the authentication parameters and a portion of the unique data.
  - 33. The system of claim 26, wherein said AP and said LS are co-located.
  - 34. The method of Claim 1, further comprising:

at the authentication server, authenticating the client using the unique data, and forwarding said response to the client using a re-direct header, and including a digitally signed authentication message and authentication parameters corresponding to the unique data; and

the access point receiving from the client according to the re-direct header the digitally signed authentication message and authentication parameters and correlating the authentication parameters with the mapped association data for determining access to the network.

#### Claim 35. (CANCELLED)

36. The method of Claim 1, wherein said unique data comprises a session ID and a randomized number and further comprising: receiving, by said AP, a re-directed request from the client and including a digitally signed authentication message, an authentication parameter list, and said session ID, the digitally signed authentication message being generated using the

16

randomized number, said session ID and said authentication parameter list, by said selected authentication server associated with the client; and

correlating the received digitally signed authentication message with the redirected request for access using the stored mapping data for controlling access by the client to the network.

Claim 37. (CANCELLED)

Claim 38. (CANCELLED)

Claim 39. (CANCELLED)

Claim 40. (CANCELLED)

41. The method according to claim 36, wherein said AP and said LS are co-located.